



TERMS OF REFERENCE

Reference No.	
Location	Funafuti, Tuvalu with travel to potentially 3 outer islands
Application deadline	14 April, 2021
Type of Contract	Individual Contractor
Post Level	National Consultant (Terminal Evaluation of Tuvalu Ridge to Reef project)
Languages required:	English and Tuvaluan
Duration of Initial	20 days (starting no later than 22 April and completion by 24 June)
Contract:	30 days (starting no later than 22 April and completion by 24 June)

BACKGROUND

1. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with UNDP and GEF M&E policies and procedures, all full- and medium-sized UNDP-supported GEF-financed projects are required to undergo a Terminal Evaluation (TE) at the end of the project. This Terms of Reference (ToR) sets out the expectations for the TE of the *full-sized* project titled *Project Title (PIMS #5220)* implemented through the *Department of Environment*. The project started on the 25 August 2015 and is in its 6th year of implementation. The TE process must follow the guidance outlined in the document 'Guidance For Conducting Terminal Evaluations of UNDP-Supported, GEF-Financed Projects'

2. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT

The objective of project, "Implementing 'Ridge to Reef' approach to protect biodiversity and ecosystem functions in Tuvalu (Tuvalu R2R Project)" is "to preserve ecosystem services, sustain livelihoods and improve resilience in Tuvalu using a 'ridge-to-reef' approach". To achieve this objective, the project focuses on: enhancing and strengthening conservation and protected areas (Component 1); rehabilitating degraded coastal and inland forests and landscapes and supporting the delivery of integrated water resource management (IWRM) and integrated coastal management (ICM) at a national scale whilst piloting hands-on approaches at the island scale (on three selected pilot islands) (Component 2); enhancing governance and institutional capacities at the national, island, and community levels for enhanced inland and coastal natural resource management (Component 3); and improving data and information systems that would enable improve evidence-based planning, decision-making, and management of natural resources in Tuvalu (Component 4).

The Tuvalu R2R Project is executed by Department of Environment within the portfolio of the Ministry of Public Utilities, Environment, Labour, Weather & Disaster. Through a grant of Global Environment

Facility (GEF) of USD 3,762,844, the project was initially implemented over a period of 5 years. The total co-financing from partners amounts to USD 15,680,591.

The project is part of the Pacific R2R program on "Pacific Islands Ridge-to-Reef National Priorities - Integrated Water, Land, Forest & Coastal Management to Preserve Ecosystem Services, Store Carbon, Improve Climate Resilience and Sustain Livelihoods". It is consistent with three of the GEF-5 focal areas including Biodiversity, International Waters, and Land Degradation, and is designed to advance Tuvalu's work towards achieving national and international priorities in these key focal areas through a comprehensive Ridge to Reef approach. As such, the project will deliver directly on: the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD)'s Programme of Work of Protected Areas (PoWPA) of the Aichi Targets and the National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP 2012 – 2016); the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD)'s National Action Programme (NAP); the Sustainable

The whole of Tuvalu is considered within this R2R project. Only Component 2 focusing on integrated land and water management (LD and IW) are limited to one of, or all 3 islands of Funafuti, Nukufetau and Nanumea, whilst other Components include all 9 islands of Tuvalu. The project will directly benefit the 6,194 people living in the urban capital Funafuti (55% of the population) as well as two outer islands of Nanumea (556 inhabitants) and Nukufetau (540 inhabitants) with improved integrated water and land management measures. In addition, the project will indirectly benefit the livelihoods of the entire population of Tuvalu through the long-term impacts of the R2R approach and the enhanced management of inland and coastal resources through the additional/improved LMMA/MPA networks formalized in all 9 islands.

Since the global Covid-19 pandemic has escalated into a global humanitarian and socio-economic crisis in the first quarter of 2020, many countries including Tuvalu responded immediately by implemented strict travel restrictions as a necessary measure to mitigate the spread of the virus. International travel is limited to only necessary travel and those entering the country must have in possession a Quarantine Certificate and a mandatory negative COVID-19 test result. Travelers entering Tuvalu are expected to undergo a 14-day quarantine period (in isolation) before they are allowed to move freely. Initially there was a lockdown period, with national government priorities focused on a Corvid 19 response plan. This had a negative impact on the project, resulting in delays to implementation for at least 2 months but with the lifting of restrictions implementation gradually picked up since June. To date, there are no known cases of Corvid related deaths in Tuvalu. Government officials continue to monitor the situation and provide regular updates.

3. TE PURPOSE

The TE report will assess the achievement of project results against what was expected to be achieved and draw lessons that can both improve the sustainability of benefits from this project, and aid in the overall enhancement of UNDP programming. The TE report promotes accountability and transparency and assesses the extent of project accomplishments.

Further to this, the objectives of the evaluation will be to:

- assess the achievement of project results supported by evidence (i.e. progress of project's outcome targets),
- assess the contribution and alignment of the project to relevant national development plan or environmental policies;

- assess the contribution of the project results towards the relevant outcome and output of the Sub Regional Programme Document (SRPD) & United Nation Pacific Strategy (UNPS/UNDAF)
- assess any cross cutting and gender issues
- examination on the use of funds and value for money

and to draw lessons that can both improve the sustainability of benefits from this project, and aid in the overall enhancement of UNDP programming

The TE will be conducted according to the guidance, rules and procedures established by UNDP and GEF as reflected in the UNDP Evaluation Guidance for GEF Financed Projects.

4. TE APPROACH & METHODOLOGY

The TE report must provide evidence-based information that is credible, reliable and useful.

The TE team will review all relevant sources of information including documents prepared during the preparation phase (i.e. PIF, UNDP Initiation Plan, UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Procedure/SESP) the Project Document, project reports including annual PIRs, project budget revisions, lesson learned reports, national strategic and legal documents, and any other materials that the team considers useful for this evidence-based evaluation. The TE team will review the baseline and midterm GEF focal area Core Indicators/Tracking Tools submitted to the GEF at the CEO endorsement and midterm stages and the terminal Core Indicators/Tracking Tools that must be completed before the TE field mission begins.

The TE team is expected to follow a participatory and consultative approach ensuring close engagement with the Project Team, government counterparts (the GEF Operational Focal Point), Implementing Partners, the UNDP Country Office(s), the Regional Technical Advisor, direct beneficiaries and other stakeholders.

Engagement of stakeholders is vital to a successful TE. Stakeholder involvement should include interviews with stakeholders who have project responsibilities, including but not limited to Department of Environment, Department of Agriculture, Department of Waste, Department of Local Governments, All Island Councils (Kaupule), Planning and Finance, Department of Fisheries, Department of Lands and Survey, Tuvaluan Association of Non-Governmental Organizations, Tuvalu National Council of Women, consultants, project board, project beneficiaries, academia, local government and CSOs, etc. Additionally, the TE team is expected to conduct field missions to (Nanumea an island in the Northern Group, Nukufetau in the Central, Niulakita in the southern and on Funafuti), including the following project sites; (Ifilele pond and Conservation Area of Niulakita, Conservation Area of Funafuti, Nukufetau and Nanumea, Demo Dry Litter Piggery on Funafuti, Mangrove and Coral Plantation sites on Funafuti). In case the shipping schedule is not favorable, then the TE team will need to arrange virtual meetings with Kaupule member using ZOOM.

The specific design and methodology for the TE should emerge from consultations between the TE team and the above-mentioned parties regarding what is appropriate and feasible for meeting the TE purpose and objectives and answering the evaluation questions, given limitations of budget, time and data. The TE team must, however, use gender-responsive methodologies and tools and ensure that gender equality and women's empowerment, as well as other cross-cutting issues and SDGs are incorporated into the TE report.

The final methodological approach including interview schedule, field visits and data to be used in the

evaluation must be clearly outlined in the TE Inception Report and be fully discussed and agreed between UNDP, stakeholders and the TE team.

Evaluation team should be able to revise the approach in consultation with the evaluation manager and key stakeholders. These changes in approach should be agreed and reflected clearly in the TE Inception Report.

The final report must describe the full TE approach taken and the rationale for the approach making explicit the underlying assumptions, challenges, strengths and weaknesses about the methods and approach of the evaluation.

The evaluator will review all relevant sources of information, such as the project document, project reports – including Annual APR/PIR, project budget revisions, midterm review, progress reports, GEF focal area tracking tools, project files, national strategic and legal documents, and any other materials that the evaluator considers useful for this evidence-based assessment. A list of documents that the project team will provide to the evaluator for review is included in <u>Annex B</u> of this Terms of Reference.

Analysis and reporting: Data collated will be analyzed and presented based on the evaluation criteria and ratings. Analysis will be provided in matric, tables to be best present findings and key recommendations; Reporting to be conducted in RBM (results-based management) approach.

Presentation of final draft to country office and stakeholders: The final report must describe the full TE approach taken and the rationale for the approach making explicit the underlying assumptions, challenges, strengths and weaknesses about the methods and approach of the evaluation

As of 11 March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) declared COVID-19 a global pandemic as the new coronavirus rapidly spread to all regions of the world. Travel to the country has been restricted since March 2020 and travel in the country is also restricted. If it is not possible to travel to or within the country for the TE mission then the TE team should develop a methodology that takes this into account the conduct of the TE virtually and remotely, including the use of remote interview methods and extended desk reviews, data analysis, surveys and evaluation questionnaires. This should be detailed in the TE Inception Report and agreed with the Commissioning Unit.

If all or part of the TE is to be carried out virtually then consideration should be taken for stakeholder availability, ability or willingness to be interviewed remotely. In addition, their accessibility to the internet/computer may be an issue as many government and national counterparts may be working from home. These limitations must be reflected in the final TE report.

If a data collection/field mission is not possible then remote interviews may be undertaken through telephone or online (skype, zoom etc.). International consultants can work remotely with national evaluator support in the field if it is safe for them to operate and travel. No stakeholders, consultants or UNDP staff should be put in harm's way and safety is the key priority.

A short validation mission may be considered if it is confirmed to be safe for staff, consultants, stakeholders and if such a mission is possible within the TE schedule. Equally, qualified and independent national consultants can be hired to undertake the TE and interviews in country as long as it is safe to do so.

5. DETAILED SCOPE OF THE TE

The TE will assess project performance against expectations set out in the project's Logical Framework/Results Framework (see ToR Annex A). The TE will assess results according to the criteria outlined in the Guidance for TEs of 'Guidance For Conducting Terminal Evaluations of UNDP-Supported, GEF-Financed Projects'. The Findings section of the TE report will cover the topics listed below.

A full outline of the TE report's content is provided in ToR Annex C.

The asterisk "(*)" indicates criteria for which a rating is required.

Findings

- 6. Project Design/Formulation
- 7. National priorities and country driven-ness
- 8. Theory of Change
- 9. Gender equality and women's empowerment
- 10. Social and Environmental Safeguards
- 11. Analysis of Results Framework: project logic and strategy, indicators
- 12. Assumptions and Risks
- 13. Lessons from other relevant projects (e.g. same focal area) incorporated into project design
- 14. Planned stakeholder participation
- 15. Linkages between project and other interventions within the sector
- 16. Management arrangements

17. Project Implementation

- 18. Adaptive management (changes to the project design and project outputs during implementation)
- 19. Actual stakeholder participation and partnership arrangements
- 20. Project Finance and Co-finance
- 21. Monitoring & Evaluation: design at entry (*), implementation (*), and overall assessment of M&E (*)
- 22. Implementing Agency (UNDP) (*) and Executing Agency (*), overall project oversight/implementation and execution (*)
- 23. Risk Management, including Social and Environmental Standards

24. Project Results

25. Assess the achievement of outcomes against indicators by reporting on the level of progress for each objective and outcome indicator at the time of the TE and noting final achievements

- 26. Relevance (*), Effectiveness (*), Efficiency (*) and overall project outcome (*)
- 27. Sustainability: financial (*) , socio-political (*), institutional framework and governance (*), environmental (*), overall likelihood of sustainability (*)
- 28. Country ownership
- 29. Gender equality and women's empowerment
- 30. Cross-cutting issues (poverty alleviation, improved governance, climate change mitigation and adaptation, disaster prevention and recovery, human rights, capacity development, South-South cooperation, knowledge management, volunteerism, etc., as relevant)
- 31. GEF Additionality
- 32. Catalytic Role / Replication Effect
- 33. Progress to impact

Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations and Lessons Learned

- 34. The TE team will include a summary of the main findings of the TE report. Findings should be presented as statements of fact that are based on analysis of the data.
- 35. The section on conclusions will be written in light of the findings. Conclusions should be comprehensive and balanced statements that are well substantiated by evidence and logically connected to the TE findings. They should highlight the strengths, weaknesses and results of the project, respond to key evaluation questions and provide insights into the identification of and/or solutions to important problems or issues pertinent to project beneficiaries, UNDP and the GEF, including issues in relation to gender equality and women's empowerment.
- 36. Recommendations should provide concrete, practical, feasible and targeted recommendations directed to the intended users of the evaluation about what actions to take and decisions to make. The recommendations should be specifically supported by the evidence and linked to the findings and conclusions around key questions addressed by the evaluation.
- 37. The TE report should also include lessons that can be taken from the evaluation, including best and worst practices in addressing issues relating to relevance, performance and success that can provide knowledge gained from the particular circumstance (programmatic and evaluation methods used, partnerships, financial leveraging, etc.) that are applicable to other GEF and UNDP interventions. When possible, the TE team should include examples of good practices in project design and implementation.
- 38. It is important for the conclusions, recommendations and lessons learned of the TE report to include results related to gender equality and empowerment of women.

The TE report will include an Evaluation Ratings Table, as shown below:

ToR Table 2: Evaluation Ratings Table for Tuvalu R2R Project

Monitoring & Evaluation (M&E)

Rating¹

¹ Outcomes, Effectiveness, Efficiency, M&E, I&E Execution, Relevance are rated on a 6-point rating scale: 6 = Highly Satisfactory (HS), 5 = Satisfactory (S), 4 = Moderately Satisfactory (MS), 3 = Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU), 2 = Unsatisfactory (U), 1 = Highly Unsatisfactory (HU). Sustainability

M&E design at entry	
M&E Plan Implementation	
Overall Quality of M&E	
Implementation & Execution	Rating
Quality of UNDP Implementation/Oversight	
Quality of Implementing Partner Execution	
Overall quality of Implementation/Execution	
Assessment of Outcomes	Rating
Relevance	
Effectiveness	
Efficiency	
Overall Project Outcome Rating	
Sustainability	Rating
Financial resources	
Socio-political/economic	
Institutional framework and governance	
Environmental	
Overall Likelihood of Sustainability	

6. TIMEFRAME

NOTE: Flexibility and delays should be included in the timeframe for the TE, with additional time for implementing the TE virtually recognizing possible delays in accessing stakeholder groups due to COVID-19. Consideration may be given to a time contingency should the evaluation be delayed in any way due to COVID-19.

The total duration of the TE will be approximately 30 days over a time period of 12 of weeks starting on 22 April. The tentative TE timeframe is as follows:

NOTE: Adjust the text in this column if a mission will not take place. The stakeholder interviews, if done virtually, may require a longer than usual time period. Please adjust the number of days and completion date to accommodate this

aate to accommodate this.	
Timeframe	Activity
14 April 2021	Application closes
22 April 2021	Selection of TE team
29 April 2021	Preparation period for TE team (handover of documentation)
4 May 2021(4 days)	Document review and preparation of TE Inception Report
10 May 2021	Finalization and Validation of TE Inception Report; latest start of TE
	mission
11 - 24 May (10 days)	TE mission: stakeholder meetings, interviews, field visits, etc.
26 May 2021	Mission wrap-up meeting & presentation of initial findings; earliest end of
	TE mission
7 June 2021	Preparation and submission of draft TE report
8 – 15 June 2021	Circulation of draft TE report for comments
16 -22 June 2021	Incorporation of comments on draft TE report into Audit Trail &
	finalization of TE report
23 June 2021	Preparation and Issuance of Management Response
24 June 2021	Expected date of full TE completion. Submission of final report and
	supporting documentation

is rated on a 4-point scale: 4 = Likely (L), 3 = Moderately Likely (ML), 2 = Moderately Unlikely (MU), 1 = Unlikely (U)

Options for site visits should be provided in the TE Inception Report.

7. TE DELIVERABLES

#	Deliverable	Description	Timing	Responsibilities
1	TE Inception Report	TE team clarifies objectives, methodology and timing of the TE	By 10 May	TE team submits Inception Report to Commissioning Unit and project management
2	Presentation	Initial Findings	End of TE mission: 26 May	TE team presents to Commissioning Unit and project management
3	Draft TE Report	Full draft report (using guidelines on report content in ToR Annex C) with annexes	Within 3 weeks of end of TE mission: 7 June	TE team submits to Commissioning Unit; reviewed by BPPS-GEF RTA, Project Coordinating Unit, GEF OFP
5	Final TE Report* + Audit Trail	Revised final report and TE Audit trail in which the TE details how all received comments have (and have not) been addressed in the final TE report (See template in ToR Annex H)	Within 1 week of receiving comments on draft report: 24 June	TE team submits both documents to the Commissioning Unit

^{*}All final TE reports will be quality assessed by the UNDP Independent Evaluation Office (IEO). Details of the IEO's quality assessment of decentralized evaluations can be found in Section 6 of the UNDP Evaluation Guidelines.²

8. TE ARRANGEMENTS

The principal responsibility for managing the TE resides with the Commissioning Unit. The Commissioning Unit for this project's TE is the UNDP Pacific Office

The Commissioning Unit will contract the evaluators and ensure the timely provision of per diems and travel arrangements within the country for the TE team. The Project Team will be responsible for liaising with the TE team to provide all relevant documents, set up stakeholder interviews, and arrange field visits.

9. TE TEAM COMPOSITION

A team of two independent evaluators will conduct the TE – one team leader (with experience and exposure to projects and evaluations in other regions) and one team expert, usually from the country of the project. The team leader will be responsible for the overall design and writing of the TE report. The National consultant is expected to work under the supervision of Team Leader.

The evaluator(s) cannot have participated in the project preparation, formulation and/or implementation (including the writing of the project document), must not have conducted this project's Mid-Term Review and should not have a conflict of interest with the project's related activities.

The selection of evaluators will be aimed at maximizing the overall "team" qualities in the following

² Access at: http://web.undp.org/evaluation/guideline/section-6.shtml

areas:

Education

10. Degree in Environmental Management/Science, Natural Resource Management and/or 5 years relevant work experience;

Experience

- 11. Experience in supporting implementation of community-based projects
- 12. Good understanding of biodiversity, land degradation and international water issues in Tuvalu. Strong networks with Government Departments, Non- Governmental Organizations,
- 13. Competence in adaptive management, as applied to Biodiversity, Land Degradation and International Waters;
- 14. Previous experience with evaluating is advantageous
- 15. Experience in relevant technical areas at least 10 years;
- 16. Demonstrated understanding of issues related to gender and International Waters; experience in gender responsive evaluation and analysis;
- 17. Excellent communication skills:
- 18. Demonstrable analytical skills;
- 19. Project evaluation/review experience within United Nations system will be considered an is essential
- 20. Experience with implementing evaluations remotely will be considered an asset.

Competencies

- 21. Strong interpersonal and communication skills;
- 22. Strong analytical, reporting and writing abilities skills;
- 23. Openness to change and ability to receive/integrate feedback;
- 24. Ability to plan, organize, implement and report on work;
- 25. Ability to work under pressure and tight deadlines;
- 26. Proficiency in the use of office IT applications and internet in conducting research;
- 27. Outstanding communication, project management and organizational skills;
- 28. Excellent presentation and facilitation skills.
- 29. Demonstrates integrity and ethical standards;
- 30. Positive, constructive attitude to work;
- 31. Displays cultural, gender, religion, race, nationality and age sensitivity and adaptability.

Language

32. Fluency in written and spoken English. Fluency in speaking local language.

10. EVALUATOR ETHICS

The TE team will be held to the highest ethical standards and is required to sign a code of conduct upon acceptance of the assignment. This evaluation will be conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the UNEG 'Ethical Guidelines for Evaluation'. The evaluator must safeguard the rights and

confidentiality of information providers, interviewees and stakeholders through measures to ensure compliance with legal and other relevant codes governing collection of data and reporting on data. The evaluator must also ensure security of collected information before and after the evaluation and protocols to ensure anonymity and confidentiality of sources of information where that is expected. The information knowledge and data gathered in the evaluation process must also be solely used for the evaluation and not for other uses without the express authorization of UNDP and partners.

11. PAYMENT SCHEDULE

- 12. 20% payment upon satisfactory delivery of the final TE Inception Report and approval of the Commissioning Unit by 10 May
- 13. 40% payment upon satisfactory delivery of the draft TE report to the Commissioning Unit by 7 June
- 14. 40% payment upon satisfactory delivery of the final TE report and approval by the Commissioning Unit and RTA (via signatures on the TE Report Clearance Form) and delivery of completed TE Audit Trail by 24 June

Criteria for issuing the final payment of 40%:

- 15. The final TE report includes all requirements outlined in the TE TOR and is in accordance with the TE guidance.
- 16. The final TE report is clearly written, logically organized, and is specific for this project (i.e. text has not been cut & pasted from other TE reports).
- 17. The Audit Trail includes responses to and justification for each comment listed.

In line with the UNDP's financial regulations, when determined by the Commissioning Unit and/or the consultant that a deliverable or service cannot be satisfactorily completed due to the impact of COVID-19 and limitations to the TE, that deliverable or service will not be paid.

Due to the current COVID-19 situation and its implications, a partial payment may be considered if the consultant invested time towards the deliverable but was unable to complete to circumstances beyond his/her control.

APPLICATION PROCESS³

Recommended Presentation of Proposal:

- 18. Letter of Confirmation of Interest and Availability using the template⁴ provided by UNDP;
- 19. **CV** and a **Personal History Form** (P11 form⁵);
- 20. Brief description of approach to work/technical proposal of why the individual considers

http://www.undp.org/content/dam/undp/library/corporate/Careers/P11_Personal_history_form.doc

10

³ Engagement of evaluators should be done in line with guidelines for hiring consultants in the POPP https://popp.undp.org/SitePages/POPPRoot.aspx

 $^{^4 \}underline{\text{https://intranet.undp.org/unit/bom/pso/Support\%20documents\%20on\%20IC\%20Guidelines/Template\%20for\%20Confirmation\%20of\%20Interest\%20and\%20Submission\%20of\%20Financialw20Proposal.docx}$

him/herself as the most suitable for the assignment, and a proposed methodology on how they will approach and complete the assignment; (max 1 page)

21. **Financial Proposal** that indicates the all-inclusive fixed total contract price and all other travel related costs (such as flight ticket, per diem, etc), supported by a breakdown of costs, as per template attached to the <u>Letter of Confirmation of Interest template</u>. If an applicant is employed by an organization/company/institution, and he/she expects his/her employer to charge a management fee in the process of releasing him/her to UNDP under Reimbursable Loan Agreement (RLA), the applicant must indicate at this point, and ensure that all such costs are duly incorporated in the financial proposal submitted to UNDP.

All application materials should be submitted to the address (insert mailing address) in a sealed envelope indicating the following reference "Consultant for Terminal Evaluation of 'Implementing 'Ridge to Reef' approach to protect biodiversity and ecosystem functions in Tuvalu (Tuvalu R2R Project" or by email at the following address etenderbox.pacific@undp.org no later than 14 April, 2021 (Fiji Time) Any request for clarification must be sent in writing, or by standard electronic communication to procurement.fj@undp.org. UNDP will respond in writing or by standard electronic mail and will send written copies of the response, including an explanation of the query without identifying the source of inquiry, to all consultants. Incomplete, late and joint proposals will not be considered and only offers for which there is further interest will be contacted. Failure to submit your application as stated as per the application submission guide (Procurement Notice) on the above link will be considered incomplete and therefore application will not be considered.

Criteria for Evaluation of Proposal: Only those applications which are responsive and compliant will be evaluated. Offers will be evaluated according to the Combined Scoring method – where the educational background and experience on similar assignments will be weighted at 70% and the price proposal will weigh as 30% of the total scoring. The applicant receiving the Highest Combined Score that has also accepted UNDP's General Terms and Conditions will be awarded the contract.

TOR ANNEXES

- 22. ToR Annex A: Project Logical/Results Framework
- 23. ToR Annex B: Project Information Package to be reviewed by TE team
- 24. ToR Annex C: Content of the TE report
- 25. ToR Annex D: Evaluation Criteria Matrix template
- 26. ToR Annex E: UNEG Code of Conduct for Evaluators
- 27. ToR Annex F: TE Rating Scales
- 28. ToR Annex G: TE Report Clearance Form
- 29. ToR Annex H: TE Audit Trail

ToR Annex A: Project Logical/Results Framework

This project will contribute to achieving the following Country Programme Outcome as defined in CPAP/CPD or UNDAF:

UNDAF Focus Area 1: Environmental Management, Climate Change and Disaster Risk Management

				_
Regional UNDAF Outcome 1.1 risk management.	<u>:</u> Improved resilience of PICTs, wit	th particular focus on communities, through	integrated implementation of susta	nable environment
Tuvalu UNDAF Outcome 1.1: A	National and local authorities and	partners enhance resilience of vulnerable co	ommunities and natural ecosystems	to threats, shocks,
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		to develop and mainstream integrated police	cies on natural resources, environm	ent, climate change
planning and budgetary proce	isses,			
Country Programme and/or U	JNDAF Outcome Indicators:			
Outcome 1.1: Percentage of to	errestrial and marine areas protec	cted (MDG7) (baseline = 0.2%); Percentage o	of communities supported with clim	ate change adaptat
		y frameworks, policies and institutions enab	led to ensure the conservation, su	stainable use, and a
ecosystems, in line with intern	national conventions and national	legislation		
Primary applicable Key Enviro	onment and Sustainable Develop	ment Key Result Area (same as that on the	cover page, circle one): 1. Mainstr	eaming environmer
Applicable GEF Strategic Obje	ective and Program:			
BD-1: Improve Sustainability o	of Protected Area Systems:			
BD-2: Mainstream Biodiversity	y Conservation and Sustainable U	se into Production Landscapes, Seascapes a	nd Sectors:	
LD-3: Integrated Landscapes: F	Reduce pressures on natural reso	urces from competing land uses in the wide	r landscape:	
IW-3: Support foundational ca	spacity building, portfolio learning	g, and targeted research needs for joint, eco	system- based management of tran	s-boundary water s
Applicable GEF Expected Outo	comes:			
BD-1: Outcome 1.1: Improved	management effectiveness of ex	isting and new protected areas.		
·	_	-		
frameworks.	n sustainably managed landscape	es and seascapes that integrate biodiversity	conservation. Outcome 2.2: Measul	es to conserve and s
LD-3: Outcome 3.2: Integrated	d landscape management practice	es adopted by local communities.		
IW-3: Outcome 3.3: IW portfo	olio capacity and performance enl	hanced from active learning/KM/ experience	e sharing.	
Applicable GEF Outcome Indi	icators:			
Indicator 1.1(BD-1): Protected	area management effectiveness	score as recorded by Management Effective	ness Tracking Tool.	
tracking tool.	es ana seascapes certijiea by intel	rnationally or nationally recognized environi	nentai stanaaras tnat incorporate L	toalversity considerd
Indicator 3.2(LD-3) Application	n of integrated natural resource m	nanagement (INRM) practices in wider lands	capes.	
Indicator 3.3 (IW-3) GEF5 perfe	ormance improved over GEF4 per	data from IW Tracking Tool; capacity surve	ys.	
Objectives and Outcomes	Indicator	Baseline	Targets	Source of ve
			End of Project	
Project Objective	To preserve ecosystem service	s, sustain livelihoods and improve resilienc	e in Tuvalu using a 'ridge-to-reef' a	approach
	Expansion area (in ha) over	Existing conservation area has been	Approximately 1,200 ha or 12	Ground truth surve
	existing conservation areas	verified as 76.026 as per PoWPA. 15%	km2 expansion area	to help achieve the
		of this is 11.4 km2 or approximately		expected target to
		12km ² (rounded up to this figure		LMMA/MPA netw

				Π
		throughout the Prodoc),		(or more) after ye
	The integration of new ridge to reef (R2R) knowledge and information into all appropriate national and island wide policy and legislation	Whole island planning (referred to as ISP) remains in its infancy in Tuvalu though it is being initially addressed within NAPA 2, though as of September 2014, no details on the ISP process are available.	8 ISPs have R2R principles integrated that incorporates ICM, MSP and IWRM	Review of legislati and ISPs
COMPONENT 1 – CONSERVATI	ON OF ISLAND AND MARINE BIO	ODIVERSITY		
Outcome 1.1	Status GIS-based information	The current database on biodiversity	1 updated/new national	National Report (N
Improved management effectiveness of system of conservation areas composed of existing and expanded Locally Managed	management system for biodiversity-focused natural resource management.	parameters and natural resource data is available but not organized/accessible. Tuvalu Marine Life (completed by Alofa Tuvalu) plus follow up activities are proposed for 2015 (to be funded by USAID).	environment GIS-based information management system.	using spatial and be data gathered through project.
Marine Areas (LMMAs)			At least 9 GIS maps for each Tuvalu LMMA/MPA (1 per conservation area) with data	Quarterly and ann reports from R2R
		Existing baseline information is included on the ReefBase Project (http://pacificgis.reefbase.org) database.	and information (including BD hotspots and existing projects), integrated into reports and plans, and distributed and utilised by decisions makers.	Review of legislati and ISPs.
		The fisheries department through their Community Fishing Centre are collecting catch data.	utilised by decisions makers.	
		Household Income surveys also data regarding fisheries and other natural livelihoods.		
		5NR is current under implementation		
Outcome 1.1 Outputs				
Output 1.1.1 National biodiversity surveys of terrestrial and marine fauna & flora completed, with specific targets on	Number of biodiversity surveys of terrestrial and marine fauna and flora completed and updated every 2 yrs.	The fourth National Report (Convention of Biological Diversity) was produced 2009 and published available on CBD webpage. NBSAP was produced 2011 and endorsed in 2013. Specifics for island NBSAP sites are presented	9 (1 per Island) surveys of terrestrial and marine fauna and flora completed and updated every 2 yrs.	National Report (Nusing spatial and bedata gathered throproject.
endemic species to develop the biodiversity component of the GIS-based management information		through currently not linked closely to R2R principles. The 2014 NBSAP is currently under review and a consultant	At least 50% of participants engaged in data collection and dissemination are from	Quarterly and anr reports from R2R

system (as described in Output 4.1.1)		has been engaged under UNEP.	I Vilinerable groups of society	
		THE SECTION OF THE SE	vulnerable groups of society (women, children, adolescents, and elderly).	M&E reports inclu
		Previous survey has been conducted under various separate initiatives but		and annual updat
	Number of species surveyed based on agreed upon	not centrally stored and/or data analysis is incomplete. The IBP/ BIORAP has recommended	At least 30* species to be surveyed with agreed upon biodiversity indicators for monitoring *to be reviewed during project	New information held by Dept of L and accepted by
	biodiversity indicators (including endemic species	that the implementation of MPAs must be island specific /contextualised i.e.	implementation	
	and other endangered species)	management rules will vary per island depending on circumstances.		Clear and measur indicators and tar LMMA/MPAs.
	(Supports delivery of GEF5 Indicator 1.1 & 2.1 (BD-1 and 2))			Effectiveness of t will be measured biodiversity surve within and outsid LMMA/MPAs.
		ating science and traditional knowledge) a es on island level biodiversity monitoring	nd community monitoring methods	s for biodiversity.
	aseline survey (of at least 30 spec			
1.1.1 c) Conduct biodiversity ba	asemie sarvey (or at least 50 spec	es) with communities in the 9 islands. End	lemic coastal vegetation, submerge	marine ecosyster
1.1.1d) Incorporate all new fiel	ld survey data into a GIS-based ma	anagement information system, which is to		ed marine ecosyster
1.1.1d) Incorporate all new fiel 1.1.1 e) Community monitoring	ld survey data into a GIS-based mage	anagement information system, which is to		e d marine ecosyster
1.1.1d) Incorporate all new fiel	ld survey data into a GIS-based ma	anagement information system, which is to	At least 9 marine conservation techniques piloted (1 per island)	Mid Term M&E Rep 3) representing a re biodiversity baselin designated studied each site.

management effectiveness. Information incorporated into the GIS-based management information system (as described in Output 4.1.1)	(Supports delivery of GEF5 Indicator 1.1 (BD-1))			Effectiveness of the I will be measured thr tiodiversity surveys o within and outside of LMMA/MPAs.
Activities				
1.1.2 a) Review of current exte	ent, status and potential for expans	ion of 11 Tuvaluan Locally Managed Mari	ne Areas (LMMAs) including Marine	Protected Areas (MF
1.1.2 b) Through community c	onsultation and scientific assessme	ents (through Output 1.1.1), identify and a	gree on specific locations for LMM	MPA expansion by
habitat rehabilitation program	IS.			
	ogram to educate the R2R Island O	fficers, kaupule members, and communit	y on LMMAs/MPAs, marine conserv	ation techniques, and
adaptations.				
1.1.2 d) Develop/update GIS m	naps of new LMMAs/MPAs bounda	ries in partnership with SPC		
1.1.2 e) Through a participator	ry process, implement, enforce, and	d monitor the implementation of marine of	conservation techniques piloted acr	oss the 9 islands
		·		
Output 1.1.3	Number of formalized community management	Except for Funafuti the other seven islands have established their CA	9 formalized community management systems of	National Report (No using spatial and bi
Community management	systems of marine	based on a community based	marine conservation areas with	data gathered thro
systems of marine	conservation areas	approach (LMMA). The management	management plans (hotspots,	project.
conservation areas		of these areas are done traditionally	PAs, bio-indicators etc).	
formalised following	(shared with Outcome	without formal legal and institutional		
participatory LMMA	Indicator)	support from Government directly.		Quarterly and annu
approaches, with biodiversity focus to address		The Kaupule the executive arm does not interfere with the management	For all 9 LMMA's including	reports from R2R Is
threats, including climate		of the LMMA except directed by the	Funafuti, an effective	
change.	Number and effectiveness of	Assembly Fale Kaupule. Despite this,	monitoring/reporting and	
	system in place to	The role of different community	evaluation system is in place by	Davison of locialetic
	monitor/report that R2R	groups (women, men, teenagers,	Y2 with evidence on how R2R	Review of legislation and ISPs.
	communities are fully engaged in the updating and	elderly) are not made clear with	communities are fully engaged in the updating and	and isi s.
	implementation of LMMAs.	regards to sustainable land management and marine biodiversity	implementation processes \	
		conservation and their island wide	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
		implementation.		
	(Supports delivery of GEF5		Vulnerable groups and women	
	Indicator 2.1 (BD-2))		are involved (at least 30%) in	
			the community management	
			systems	
	1	İ	1	11

Activities

1.1.3 a) Review the current management system and traditional Kaupule conservation area management plans through community consultations to determine the in 9 sites.

COMPONENT 2 – INTEGRATE	D LAND AND WATER MANAGEMEN	NT		
	Number of new sustainable land management (SLM) interventions introduced on Funafuti, Nanumea and Nukufetau that positively contribute to food security development on those islands. (Supports delivery of GEF5 Indicator 3.2 (LD-3))	Current adoption / promotion of composting and production of organic fertilizers is seeking to remedy the current challenge of having to promote food security on soils that are becoming saline or devoid of nutrients through inappropriate land use (tree planting) or pollution from human land usage. NAPA 1 introduced simple composting techniques with the assistance of the SWAT Department utilizing compost wastes.	Introduction of at least 3 new sustainable land management (SLM) interventions (focusing on improved opportunities for underutilized local crop species) and agroforestry interventions in Nanumea, Funafuti and Nukufetau that (if improved upon) will positively contribute to food security development on the 3 islands, for over 300 community members (or 30% of island population; 30% or over should be female) by the end of the project.	Climate resilient guide National Report using spatial and data gathered the project. Quarterly and an reports from R2
Outcome 2.1 Outputs				Review of ISPs
Output 2.1.1	Number of new detailed	Land resources surveys were	Up to 3 (1 for each pilot	Geotechnical su
Resource inventory performed, soils characterized and hazards to land and water resources identified and incorporated into GIS area mapping, complementing Output 1.1.1 towards improving decision making in the management of production landscapes and maintenance of ecosystem services	ground truth soil, geological, topographic and land resource characterisation field surveys completed. (Shared indicator with Outcome 2.1) Number of clear and measurable bio-indicators set to maintain ecosystem services for all pilot islands identified and reported; number of people trained to monitor and update bio-indicators. (Supports delivery of GEF5 Indicator 3.2 (LD-3))	undertaken by FAO in 1986. SPC under NAPA has completed soil survey in Nukufetau and Funafuti. Soils and terrain have altered since 1986 and new options for agriculture and coastal adaptation are now required.	islands) new or updated land and geotechnical surveys undertaken on Nanumea, Nukufetau and Funafuti and information stored within the GIS by the end of Y2. All new resource information (soils etc) collated and stored within GIS (maps etc) and used to inform land use strategies for SLM delivery on Nanumea and Nukufetau by the end of the project.	GIS-based informanagement sy incorporates up information National Reportusing spatial and data gathered to project. Quarterly and a reports from R2 Review of legisl

2.1.1 b) Train R2R Island Officers, island leaders and community members on the significance of land and water resource monitoring and management 2.1.1c)Through a community-based and scientific approach, carry out an inventory to determine the quality and quantity of natural resources |n the 3 selected isla 2.1.1d) Building on existing data (produced by FAO and NAPA II project), produce detailed ground truth soil, geological, topographic and land resource characterisa 2.1.1e) Incorporate all new soil and land resource characterisation field survey data into a GIS-based management information system. 2.1.1 f) Identify information gaps/datasets needed for future surveys to help better deliver NBSAP principles 2.1.1 g) Update information on resource-indicators annually within local and national GIS-based management information system. Climate resilient SL Output 2.1.2 Number of new sustainable From a soft coastal adaptation Introduction of at least 3 new guide land management (SLM) perspective, there are significant sustainable land management Re-vegetated degraded interventions introduced on areas of large salt tolerant trees on (SLM) (focusing on improved areas with indigenous Funafuti, Nanumea and many of the Tuvaluan islands, though opportunities for underutilized hardwood tree species Nukufetau that positively the engineering science surrounding local crop species) and National Report (NI (including mangroves, contribute to food security their role in preventing coastal agroforestry interventions in using spatial and bi coconuts and local crop development on those islands. erosion is uncertain. Nanumea, Funafuti and data gathered thro species) in selected sites in 3 Nukufetau that (if improved project. islands (Funafuti, Nanumea (shared indicator with upon) will positively contribute and Nukufetau), towards Outcome 2.1) to food security development New schemes are proposed for improving hydrological on the 3 islands, for over 300 Nanumea (pandanus groynes functions, coastal resilience community members (or 30% Quarterly and annu construction to help develop the against climate impacts, and of island population; 30% of (Supports delivery of GEF5 reports from R2R Is improving livelihoods and "green buffer" concept and a novel which should be female) by the Indicator 3.2 (LD-3)) "spur and groove" reef rehabilitation securing food production end of the project. project to help reduce wave energy with involvement of impacting on the coast of Savave Department of Agriculture, Review of ISPs island are proposed on Nukufetau as Department of Rural part of NAPA1. At least 1 knowledge product Development, Kaupule, on climate-resilient SLM NGOs and women's techniques developed and 2 organizations (Tuvalu National Council of Women) suitable awareness programs Current adoption / promotion of to educate people (gender composting and production of sensitive) on "climate resilient" organic fertilizers is seeking to replanting of arable crops (i.e. remedy the current challenge of Happy Garden initiative), having to promote food security on benefiting over 200 vulnerable soils that are becoming saline or community members (30% at devoid of nutrients through least being female) by the end inappropriate land use (tree planting) of the project. or pollution from human land usage Planting of over 500 suitable Plants of Tuvalu book produced in hardwood (coconut/mahogany 2012 reference material for the etc), fruit tree species and identification of plants, grasses, as it underutilized local crop species has scientific, common English names over at least two islands by the and local names. end of Y4. At least 3 agricultural interventions (1 each in Nanumea and Nukufetau) implemented (with number of

beneficiaries (at least 30%

			women and/or youth) and	
			value of investments	
			recorded).	
			,	
			Trainees to visit / learn from	
			the GCCA agroforestry sites in	
			Funafuti.	
Activities				
)		
· - ·		c), develop the "Tuvalu Climate Resilient SL e livelihoods and food security in coordinate	•	
2.1.2b) Implement priority SLM	I interventions in accordance with	the Guide (2.1.2a) including the replanting	g of over 500 suitable hardwood (co	conut / mahogany
	<u> </u>	in local engagement (including sponsoring	organize community-based tree pla	nting restoration p
and maintaining the mangrove	e and coconut plantation nurseries).		
2.1.2d) In order to enhance co	mmunity capacities to manage and	d sustain SLM interventions, support partic	ipation (2 participant per island pe	year) of island rep
Initiative and agroforestry dem	nonstrations of the Department of	f Agriculture with involvement of Kaupule,	NGOs and womens' organizations	n Nanumea, Nukuf
Output 2.1.3	Number of actions	Water quality in the lagoon has	At least 1 reports (i.e. report by	Reports on water
	implemented to understand	decreased and the amount of floating	USP), surveys and data	that provides base
Review of completed algal	and enhance water quality of	debris has increased over the years,	collection programmes	information and u
bloom assessment in	Funafuti lagoon.	potentially from agriculture, domestic	reviewed / updated/	recommended ac
Funafuti; Implement		sources, and other development	disseminated to determine	take place in inter
remedial measures to	(Shared indicator with	activities in the surrounding lagoon	status of algal blooms in	and non-interven
reduce occurrences and	Outcome 2.1)	catchment. Pollution within Funafuti	Funafuti Lagoon to better	assess the effective
severity		Lagoon is deemed as being in a	understand causes and	intervention)
		chronic state. Invasive alien species	appropriate remedial	
		(IAS) Sargassum polycystu are present	measures.	
	(Supports delivery of GEF5	only near the coasts of Fongafale and	measures.	
	Indicator 3.2 (LD-3))	the Conservation Area. Its		National IWRM p
				•
		distribution and density is believed to	At least 1 remedial measure	
	1	be correlated to the levels of toxins		
		t t site it	implemented to reduce point	
		recorded within the water.	implemented to reduce point	Quarterly and ann
		recorded within the water.	and non-point sources of	*
		recorded within the water.	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom	*
			and non-point sources of	
		There is also a high concentration of	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom	-
		There is also a high concentration of	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon.	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites.	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3	
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been recorded in lagoons in Funafuti.	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	-
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been recorded in lagoons in Funafuti.	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been recorded in lagoons in Funafuti. USP and SPC have undertaken studies together with Fisheries Department	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	
		There is also a high concentration of nitrate in particular this is very high close to the densely populated sites. There is ongoing direct disposal of human and animal feces into the waters of Funafuti lagoon. The resulting high nutrient loading resulted in algal blooms as has been recorded in lagoons in Funafuti. USP and SPC have undertaken studies together with Fisheries Department on the causes and impacts of the	and non-point sources of pollution causing algal bloom in Funafuti Lagoon. Water quality and extent of algal bloom recorded and effectiveness of remedial measures measured at least 3 times (baseline, midterm, and final) during the lifetime of the	Quarterly and anr reports from R2R

			1
	manure in their home garden as a short term solution to reduce or decrease sea ground cover.		
	PACCC Project conducted 2 studies with support of SPC on the demand and use of compost toilet on Funafuti in 2009 and then in 2013.		
oom assessment by USP PACE-SD to	o analyze the baseline condition and iden	tify effective remedial measures an	d indicators for mor
rt and participation, implement rer	medial measures such as, but not limited	to, composting toilets in hot spots,	waterless pig waste
sing efforts regarding the causes ar	nd impacts of algal bloom in the Funafuti I	Lagoon	
of Funafuti Lagoon (baseline, midte	rm, and final) and assess the effectivenes	s of remedial measure	
E AND INSTITUTIONS			
Number of revised policies, updated sector plans or reviewed environmental regulations that help towards providing a functional enabling	Currently, there is no established assessment and evaluation framework to integrate land and sea management issues for all atolls. Tuvalu is currently in the process of	Creation of 1 nationally recognized Policy Framework that integrates R2R principles	Report/ toolkit on R2R into national a planning
environment for conservation and integrated management of islands ICM, MSP, IWRM.	drafting an Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) Plan though has no endorsed ICM policy or planning principles in place.		National Report (N using spatial and b data gathered thro project.
	Existing Environment Act is not clear and specific to cater for the current environment and the level of		Quarterly and ann reports from PIU
	mainstreaming climate change, biodiversity conservation, SLM, ICM and IWRM into ISP remains extremely limited in sector policies and work plans		Review of legislation and ISPs.
Number of knowledge products and policy instruments developed to facilitate integration of R2R	Department of Environment has been designated by the Cabinet to implement the NBSAP but no clear provision on financial and other	At least one report/toolkit on on mainstreaming "ridge to reef" principles into national and island planning (ISP) by	Report/ toolkit on R2R into national a planning
into national policies and Island Strategic Plans (ISP) that adopts (Integrated Coastal	commitments required for plan implementation.	end of Year 1 which is developed	National Report (I
	rt and participation, implement resising efforts regarding the causes are of Funafuti Lagoon (baseline, midted E AND INSTITUTIONS Number of revised policies, updated sector plans or reviewed environmental regulations that help towards providing a functional enabling environment for conservation and integrated management of islands ICM, MSP, IWRM. Number of knowledge products and policy instruments developed to facilitate integration of R2R into national policies and	PACCC Project conducted 2 studies with support of SPC on the demand and use of compost toilet on Funafuti in 2009 and then in 2013. Doom assessment by USP PACE-SD to analyze the baseline condition and ident and participation, implement remedial measures such as, but not limited sing efforts regarding the causes and impacts of algal bloom in the Funafuti in funafuti Lagoon (baseline, midterm, and final) and assess the effectiveness assessment and evaluation framework to integrate land and sea management issues for all atolls. Tuvalu is currently in the process of drafting an Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) Plan though has no endorsed ICM policy or planning principles in place. Existing Environment Act is not clear and specific to cater for the current environment and the level of mainstreaming climate change, biodiversity conservation, SLM, ICM and IWRM into ISP remains extremely limited in sector policies and work plans Number of knowledge products and policy instruments developed to facilitate integration of R2R into national policies and	short term solution to reduce or decrease sea ground cover. PACCC Project conducted 2 studies with support of SPC on the demand and use of compost toilet on Funafuti in 2009 and then in 2013. Doom assessment by USP PACE-SD to analyze the baseline condition and identify effective remedial measures and rt and participation, implement remedial measures such as, but not limited to, composting toilets in hot spots, sing efforts regarding the causes and impacts of algal bloom in the Funafuti Lagoon of Funafuti Lagoon (baseline, midterm, and final) and assess the effectiveness of remedial measure EAND INSTITUTIONS Currently, there is no established assessment and evaluation framework to integrate land and sea management issues for all atolis. Tuvalu is currently in the process of drafting an Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM) Plan though has no endorsed ICM policy or planning principles in place. Existing Environment Act is not clear and specific to cater for the current environment and the level of mainstreaming climate change, biodiversity conservation, S.LM, ICM and IWRM into ISP remains extremely limited in sector policies and work plans Number of knowledge products and policy instruments developed to facilitate integration of R2R into national policies and commitments required for plan

inform national alamaina	principles to address all land	IMMA have no formal local and	translated into local language	
inform national planning and development of	principles to address all land and sea related issues.	LMMA have no formal legal and institutional arrangement, hence the	translated into local language.	
regulations and legislation at	and sea related issues.	technical support from relevant		Quarterly and a
the national level in support		sectors is minimal. The nine CA also		reports from PIU
of integrated approaches		don't possess proper published		
(ensuring that documents	Percent of ISP budget allocated	management plans.		
are also translated into local	for kaupule conservation area			
language).	management plans (with R2R			Review of legisla and ISPs.
	integrated).	NAPA 2 is also doing an activity on		allu ises.
		ISPs. In that project activity there is	10 percent of ISP budget	
		minimal emphasis on Conservation	allocated for Kaupule	
		Management Plans.	conservation area	
			management plans (with R2R	
			integrated).	
		There is a high need for a draftsman		
		or consultation for the		
		implementation of ISP and even other		
		government sectors' legal needs.		
		Other projects like the CLGF under		
		the Commonwealth is also doing		
		similar work.		
		EU-GCCA, CLFG and C-CAP (USAID) Projec		
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past	ion of R2R principles into the Island	d Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in clos		
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past		d Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in clos		
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ	ion of R2R principles into the Island	d Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in clos	e coordination with other relevant	projects (i.e. NAPA
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ	ion of R2R principles into the Island	d Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in closes, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl	e coordination with other relevant	projects (i.e. NAPA
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, polici and formalize Kaupule conservation Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or	projects (i.e. NAPA island level authori Production of Tu awareness raising
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, polici and formalize Kaupule conservation Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify	d Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in closes, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to	projects (i.e. NAPA island level authori Production of Tu awareness raising undertake "train
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and	projects (i.e. NAPA island level authori Production of Tu- awareness raising undertake "train exercises and wo
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raising undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pl NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisin, undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E include SLM, ICM
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pi NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans.	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisin undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pi NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries,	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisin undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topics
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pi NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "trair exercises and we Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topic communication including inclusi
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	I Strategic Plans (ISPs) and budgets in closes, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ parea management plans/ agreements/ parea management plans/ agreements/ parea management plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisin undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topics communication including inclusion
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200	projects (i.e. NAPA island level author Production of Tu awareness raisin undertake "train exercises and wo Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topics communication
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able	projects (i.e. NAP/ island level author Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "trair exercises and wo Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topic communication including inclusi- activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks	projects (i.e. NAP) island level autho Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "trair exercises and w Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topic communication including inclusi activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and	projects (i.e. NAP) island level autho Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "train exercises and w Department of I include SLM, ICI principles (topic communication including inclusi activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective	projects (i.e. NAP) island level autho Production of To awareness raising undertake "traing exercises and we Department of I include SLM, ICI principles (topic communication including inclusing activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	e coordination with other relevant rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and	projects (i.e. NAP) island level autho Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "trair exercises and w Department of E include SLM, ICN principles (topic communication including inclusi activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and integrated	projects (i.e. NAP) island level autho Production of Tu awareness raisir undertake "train exercises and w Department of I include SLM, ICI principles (topic communication including inclusi activities where
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and integrated	projects (i.e. NAP, island level autho Production of To awareness raisin undertake "train exercises and w Department of I include SLM, ICI principles (topic communication including inclusi activities where Quarterly and a reports from PIU Review of nation newsletter, radi
3.1.1a) Coordinating with past 3.1.1 b) Formalise the integrat 3.1.1 c) Mainstream R2R princ 3.1.1 d) Examine, document, a Outcome 3.2 Capacity on integrated approaches enhanced at the national and community	ion of R2R principles into the Island iples into national legislation, policing formalize Kaupule conservation. Number of staff in Govt of Tuvalu (GoT) and communities who are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and	es, plans, and budgets area management plans/ agreements/ pr NGOs like TANGO, DoE and DoF are responsible for MPA Management Plans. Concerned departments, ministries, partners and stakeholders have all set up contact points to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and have adopted ecosystem services consideration in key development	rotocols with relevant national and 75% of 2014 staffing numbers (30% of which being female or more) are trained to be able to identify environmental risk and help towards implementing the R2R components of the ISP by the end of the project. As a result of the training, at least 50 GoT staff and 200 community members are able to identify environmental risks and prioritize, plan, and implement effective conservation and integrated	projects (i.e. NAP island level author Production of T awareness raisi undertake "trait exercises and w Department of include SLM, IC principles (topic communication including inclust activities where Quarterly and a reports from PI Review of nation

Outcome 3.2 Outputs				
Output 3.2.1	Number of training materials	Some existing training and materials	More than 30 trainers trained	Train the Traine
Training packages including manuals, guides and modules on LMMAs, MPAs,	produced and trainings conducted on R2R (LMMAs, MPAs, SLM, ICM and IWRM) in	developed related to LMMAs, MPAs, SLM and ICM. However, no training modules or workshops have taken	by end of project.	produced to he R2R Island Offici islands have the
SLM, ICM and IWRM, to advanced and basic levels, that include biodiversity	collaboration with regional R2R program.	place that addresses these issues holistically as R2R.	At least 30% of participants (if possible) are from vulnerable groups of society (women,	management p one of their fut performance in
status and assessments developed and implemented in collaboration with the	Number of participants in island specific training events	Ad hoc training events are carried out on various topics linked to	children, adolescents, elderly).	Report/ toolkit R2R into nation
regional R2R program support project	(including schools) and ToT training events held to focus on R2R measures that are island specific (gender	environmental protection, though few are integrated to cover a range of inter-disciplined topics that help deliver ISP at an island/atoll scale.	4 national trainings conducted.	planning
	disaggregated data)	Due to staffing constraints, MFATTEL	At least 2 training modules in English and Tuvaluan developed.	National Report using spatial an data gathered t project.
		is unable to conduct sufficient training in the outer island. DOE is under capacity/budget etc (to implement the Planning Framework for ISP (integrating land and sea) and has clear provision on financial	By the middle of Y3, at least 50% of 3 island schools are involved and over 75% of	Quarterly and a reports from PI
		requirements and other requirements for plan implementation.) MHA is directly involved with the implementations of ISPs as the funds from the FTF is	women's groups (adolescent groups) involved in all atoll community training events.	Review of legisl and ISPs.
		remitted through the Ministry to Kaupule. In a year an island Kaupule receives approximately AUD 200,000 for activities and implementation of ISP.	By the end of the project, 100% of kaupule members of the 3 islands are participating in R2R training events that help to update and provide the actions for future implementation of ISP/R2R	Stakeholder sur that island com engaged in the implementation
			activities	Mid-term and F evaluation repo
<u>Activities</u>				(, -, -,)
	als and modules on LMMAs, MPAs, coordination with regional R2R pro	climate resilient SLM Technique Guides, o oject;	and Integrated Coastal Managemei	nt (ICM) and Integ
3.2.1b) Organize annual trainin and IWRM techniques.	g on 3 islands targeting key Tuvalu	an communities and Kaupule Organize on	ICM and IWRM principles including	g "Train the Train
3.2.1c) Implementation of the	training using manuals and module	es developed in 3.2.1 a) for 10% of the pop	oulation (including women, childrer	and youth) by t

COMPONENT 4 – KNOWLEDG	E MANAGEMENT			
Outcome 4.1: Improved data and information systems on biodiversity, forests land management adaptation best practice	Number of improved or new data and information system on biodiversity, agroforestry, land management, and adaptation best practices. Number / percentage of men, women, children, youth and vulnerable groups engaged by the R2R project	Development projects currently do not systematically benefit from learning practices and project lessons on community-based biodiversity and land / marine management.	At least 1 improved or new integrated data and information system (fed by components 1, 2, and 3) established and accessed At least 30 percent of project participants are female	Project reports fr annual M&E activ R2R Pacific websi
Outcome 4.1 Outputs				
Output 4.1.1 Improved GIS-based management information system installed for biodiversity, forests and climate change, land & coastal management and best practices that includes an electronic library to access past knowledge, including reports, data etc. in parallel with ongoing projects, e.g., NAPA 2 project. Years 1 & 2 focus on collection of information and assessments with years 3 & 4 to focus more on applications.	Creation of a suitable and implementable digital metadata platform to accommodate new biodiversity, agroforestry, land management, water management and climate change datasets with appropriately trained staff to Dept of Lands and Survey. Effective and consistent use of the "multi- donor project" electronic library at national level (to produce necessary information for a "National Report to CBD" bi-annual report) or local community level.	Tuvalu currently lacks the resources and capacity to fully develop a biodiversity component within the existing national GIS system that resides at the Department of Lands and Survey. The current database on biodiversity parameters and natural resource data in general is very much lacking. There is current work being undertaken by a knowledge management specialist on information management (work sponsored by GIZ) which needs to be built on regarding any future knowledge management system underway in Tuvalu.	A GIS-based information management system/portal is established and managed within a host that participates within a regionally sustainable network plus in a way that uses the Tuvaluan language where possible By the end of Y4, production and at least 2 GIS maps (i.e: community mapping or database update etc) for all 9 islands and are tailored to the capacity and hardware / software capabilities of the island. Local counterparts from the DoLS are trained to enhance their capacity on data management and to support the R2R process on data collection and management.	GIS-based inform management sys established and h Tuvalu National L Project reports fr annual M&E activ R2R Pacific websi GEF TWs Tracking

4.4.4.5. Surport and coordinate	"the arrasing offerts to develop a	- I studie illegan where part and engoin		in alleding reports
4.1.1c) Support and coordinate Tuvalu National Library	e with ongoing efforts to develop at	n electronic library where past and ongoir	ng data, knowledge, and informatio	n, including reports,
4.1.1d) Support from regional	aconding such as SPC /SPC —SOPAC	(capacities in GIS mapping) to train local (counterparts such as Denartment 0	flands and Survey 1
-				
4.1.1 e) Standard Operating Pr	ocedure (SOP) on knowledge mana	agement developed and disseminated to e	ensure that data management syste	ms will be maintain
Output 4.1.2	Number of brochures, media	Limited formal communication	50% of all Tuvaluans (30% of	Publication of an a
Knowledge products (videos, photo stories, flyers, brochures) on all focal areas and best practices developed and disseminated through print,	releases, video documentary in local dialect, feature press article, and website produced , and percent of population who have received/consumed R2R knowledge products	materials in existence on ISP and ICM/IWRM for each Pilot Island. There is a need to involve stakeholder groups in all stages of the R2R	which are women, youth, and/or vulnerable groups) have received R2R knowledge projects by the end of the project	knowledge techni English and Tuvalu disseminate the IC approaches adopt R2R programme c islands.
broadcast and through Kaupule, schools, NGOs, women's and youth groups. All translated into Tuvaluan.		Limited channels to educate people on benefits of improving biodiversity and wider environmental conditions.	Bu end of the project, at least 5 separate types of innovative and effective awareness and communication materials developed and disseminated	Reports from proj M&E activities.
		alla wider environmental conditions.		GEF TWs Tracking
	Number of reporters/ media trained on R2R related issues. Number of male and female officers trained.		50% of reporters/media in Tuvalu trained on R2R related issues. At least 30% of trained communication officers are female	Technical awarence and communicatic (video documenta products) produce disseminated.
<u>Activities</u>				
4.1.2a) Develop and dissemina	te an array of knowledge technique	es that disseminate the R2R (ICM and IWF	RM) approaches	
4.1.2b) Establish, update and in	mprove web based products			
4.1.2c) Create innovative publi	ic awareness and education campai	igns		
4.1.2d) Support from regional	agencies such as USP/SPC/SPREP/S	OPAC (capacities in video documentary) t	to train key stakeholders in Tuvalu c	on innovative comm
4.1.2e) Training of local report	ers/radio station on R2R related iss	sues		
Output 4.1.3:	Number of inputs provided by	No formal mechanisms in place to	At least 5 delegates from	Initiation and imp
Systematic monitoring system established, with data sharing and joint training and survey activities for terrestrial and marine areas and integrated approaches; monitoring and	staff and government towards the design of a regional/ international agency donor conference to help solicit R2R lessons learned.	relay or disseminate best practice information or shared knowledge on R2R related topics.	Tuvalu participate and provide inputs to the design of a regional/international agency donor conference for R2R lessons learned (at least 2 female candidates) by the end of the project.	a major agency-d conference to dis draft of the Tuval and solicit suppor implementation a learnt" at regiona
evaluation results are fed to the R2R program through the regional program support project to facilitate				Reports from pro M&E activities.
lessons sharing and cross-				<u> </u>

Activities		
		GEF TWs Tracking T
		CEE TIMe Translation 3
,		
country fertilization		

4.1.3a) Initiate and implement a major agency-donor meetings to discuss proposals from unfunded priorities identified in the project and solicit support for implen

4.1.3b) Participation, knowledge sharing, and application of information and tools from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the Regional R2R program to enhance cross-country fertilization of R2R effective from the R2R effective from

ToR Annex B: Project Information Package to be reviewed by TE team

Project Identification Form (PIF)		mex B: Project Information Fackage to be reviewed by TE team
2 UNDP Initiation Plan 3 Final UNDP-GEF Project Document with all annexes 4 CEO Endorsement Request 5 UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) and associated management plans (if any) 6 Inception Workshop Report 7 Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations 8 All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) 9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~ US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results)	#	Item (electronic versions preferred if available)
 Final UNDP-GEF Project Document with all annexes CEO Endorsement Request UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) and associated management plans (if any) Inception Workshop Report Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) Oversight mission reports Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures Audit reports Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~USS5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF pro		•
4 CEO Endorsement Request 5 UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) and associated management plans (if any) 6 Inception Workshop Report 7 Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations 8 All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) 9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	2	UNDP Initiation Plan
5 UNDP Social and Environmental Screening Procedure (SESP) and associated management plans (if any) 6 Inception Workshop Report 7 Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations 8 All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) 9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	3	Final UNDP-GEF Project Document with all annexes
plans (if any) Inception Workshop Report Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) Winutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures Helectronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available		CEO Endorsement Request
7 Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations 8 All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) 9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	5	
8 All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs) 9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	6	Inception Workshop Report
9 Progress reports (quarterly, semi-annual or annual, with associated workplans and financial reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	7	Mid-Term Review report and management response to MTR recommendations
reports) 10 Oversight mission reports 11 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	8	All Project Implementation Reports (PIRs)
 Minutes of Project Board Meetings and of other meetings (i.e. Project Appraisal Committee meetings) GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures Audit reports Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	9	
meetings) 12 GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages) 13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of co-financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	10	Oversight mission reports
13 GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicators (from PIF, CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	11	
 stages); for GEF-6 and GEF-7 projects only 14 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions 15 Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	12	GEF Tracking Tools (from CEO Endorsement, midterm and terminal stages)
 Financial data, including actual expenditures by project outcome, including management costs, and including documentation of any significant budget revisions Co-financing data with expected and actual contributions broken down by type of cofinancing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures Audit reports Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	13	
financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or recurring expenditures 16 Audit reports 17 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) 18 Sample of project communications materials 19 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	14	
 Electronic copies of project outputs (booklets, manuals, technical reports, articles, etc.) Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	15	financing, source, and whether the contribution is considered as investment mobilized or
 Sample of project communications materials Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	16	Audit reports
 Summary list of formal meetings, workshops, etc. held, with date, location, topic, and number of participants Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 		
of participants 20 Any relevant socio-economic monitoring data, such as average incomes / employment levels of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities 21 List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) 22 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) 23 Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	18	Sample of project communications materials
of stakeholders in the target area, change in revenue related to project activities List of contracts and procurement items over ~US\$5,000 (i.e. organizations or companies contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	19	
 contracted for project outputs, etc., except in cases of confidential information) List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	20	
 List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF project approval (i.e. any leveraged or "catalytic" results) Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available 	21	
Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number of page views, etc. over relevant time period, if available	22	List of related projects/initiatives contributing to project objectives approved/started after GEF
	23	Data on relevant project website activity – e.g. number of unique visitors per month, number
	24	

25	List/map of project sites, highlighting suggested visits
26	List and contact details for project staff, key project stakeholders, including Project Board
	members, RTA, Project Team members, and other partners to be consulted
27	Project deliverables that provide documentary evidence of achievement towards project
	outcomes
	Add documents, as required

ToR Annex C: Content of the TE report

- 30. Title page
- 31. Tile of UNDP-supported GEF-financed project
- 32. UNDP PIMS ID and GEF ID
- 33. TE timeframe and date of final TE report
- 34. Region and countries included in the project
- 35. GEF Focal Area/Strategic Program
- 36. Executing Agency, Implementing partner and other project partners
- 37. TE Team members
- 38. Acknowledgements
- 39. Table of Contents
- 40. Acronyms and Abbreviations
- 41. Executive Summary (3-4 pages)
- 42. Project Information Table
- 43. Project Description (brief)
- 44. Evaluation Ratings Table
- 45. Concise summary of findings, conclusions and lessons learned
- 46. Recommendations summary table
- 47. Introduction (2-3 pages)
- 48. Purpose and objective of the TE
- 49. Scope
- 50. Methodology
- 51. Data Collection & Analysis
- 52. Ethics
- 53. Limitations to the evaluation
- 54. Structure of the TE report
- 55. Project Description (3-5 pages)
- 56. Project start and duration, including milestones

57.		Development context: environmental, socio-economic, institutional, and policy factors relevant lect objective and scope
	to the proj	·
58.		Problems that the project sought to address: threats and barriers targeted
59. 60.		Immediate and development objectives of the project Expected results
61.		Main stakeholders: summary list
62.		Theory of Change
63.		Findings
	4.1 Projec	on to a descriptive assessment, all criteria marked with (*) must be given a rating 6) at Design/Formulation
64.		Analysis of Results Framework: project logic and strategy, indicators
65. 66.		Assumptions and Risks Lessons from other relevant projects (e.g. same focal area) incorporated into project design
67.		Planned stakeholder participation
68.		Linkages between project and other interventions within the sector
	a.	Project Implementation
69.		Adaptive management (changes to the project design and project outputs during
	implemen	tation)
70.		Actual stakeholder participation and partnership arrangements
71.		Project Finance and Co-finance
72.	M&E (*)	Monitoring & Evaluation: design at entry (*), implementation (*), and overall assessment of
73.	implemen	UNDP implementation/oversight (*) and Implementing Partner execution (*), overall project tation/execution (*), coordination, and operational issues
	a.	Project Results
74.		Progress towards objective and expected outcomes (*)
75.		Relevance (*)
76.		Effectiveness (*)
77.		Efficiency (*)
78.		Overall Outcome (*)
79.		Country ownership
80.		Gender
81.		Other Cross-cutting Issues
82.		Social and Environmental Standards
83.	environme	Sustainability: financial (*), socio-economic (*), institutional framework and governance (*), ental (*), and overall likelihood (*)
84.		Country Ownership

⁶ See ToR Annex F for rating scales.

85. Gender equality and women's empowerment 86. Cross-cutting Issues 87. GEF Additionality 88. Catalytic Role / Replication Effect 89. Progress to Impact 90. Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons 91. Main Findings 92. Conclusions	
87. GEF Additionality 88. Catalytic Role / Replication Effect 89. Progress to Impact 90. Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons 91. Main Findings	
88. Catalytic Role / Replication Effect 89. Progress to Impact 90. Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons 91. Main Findings	
 89. Progress to Impact 90. Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons 91. Main Findings 	
 90. Main Findings, Conclusions, Recommendations & Lessons 91. Main Findings 	
91. Main Findings	
· ·	
92. Conclusions	
93. Recommendations	
94. Lessons Learned	
95. Annexes	
96. TE ToR (excluding ToR annexes)	
97. TE Mission itinerary	
98. List of persons interviewed	
99. List of documents reviewed	
100. Summary of field visits	
101. Evaluation Question Matrix (evaluation criteria with key questions, indicator and methodology)	s, sources of data,
102. Questionnaire used and summary of results	
103. Co-financing tables (if not include in body of report)	
TE Rating scales	
105. Signed Evaluation Consultant Agreement form	
106. Signed UNEG Code of Conduct form	
107. Signed TE Report Clearance form	
108. Annexed in a separate file: TE Audit Trail	
109. Annexed in a separate file: relevant terminal GEF/LDCF/SCCF Core Indicate Tools, as applicable	ors or Tracking

ToR Annex D: Evaluation Criteria Matrix template *NOTE: Include COVID-19 specific questions, as needed.*

Evaluative Criteria Questions Indicators Sources Methodology				
Relevance: How does the project relate to the main objectives of the GEF Focal area, and to the				
environment and development priorities a the local, regional and national level?				
(include evaluative	(i.e. relationships established,	(i.e. project	(i.e. document	

questions)	level of coherence between project design and implementation approach,	documentation, national policies or strategies, websites, project staff,	analysis, data analysis, interviews with
	specific activities conducted,	project partners, data	project staff,
	quality of risk mitigation	collected throughout the	interviews with
	strategies, etc.)	TE mission, etc.)	stakeholders,
			etc.)
Effectiveness: To	what extent have the expected outcome	es and objectives of the projectives	ct been achieved?
	•		
Efficiency: Was t	he project implemented efficiently, in	line with international and nati	onal norms and
standards?	me project impremented efficiently, in		onar norms and
standards.		<u> </u>	
Sustainability: To	what extent are there financial, institu	tional socia political and/or	nvironmental rielza
		tionar, socio-ponticar, and/or e	environinentai risks
to sustaining long	z-term project results?	T	T
	and women's empowerment: How d	id the project contribute to g	gender equality and
women's empowe	erment?		T
	indications that the project has contrib		oward reduced
environmental str	ress and/or improved ecological status?		
	e to include questions for all criteria be		
oversight/implem	entation, Implementing Partner Execut	tion, cross-cutting issues, etc.)	
Tok Annex E: U	NEG Code of Conduct for Evaluator	rs	

Independence entails the ability to evaluate without undue influence or pressure by any party (including the hiring unit) and providing evaluators with free access to information on the evaluation subject. Independence provides legitimacy to and ensures an objective perspective on evaluations. An independent evaluation reduces the potential for conflicts of interest which might arise with self-reported ratings by those involved in the management of the project being evaluated. Independence is one of ten general principles for evaluations (together with internationally agreed principles, goals and targets: utility, credibility, impartiality, ethics, transparency, human rights and gender equality, national evaluation capacities, and professionalism).

Evaluators/Consultants:

- Must present information that is complete and fair in its assessment of strengths and weaknesses so that decisions or actions taken are well founded.
- 2. Must disclose the full set of evaluation findings along with information on their limitations and have this accessible to all affected by the evaluation with expressed legal rights to receive results.
- 3. Should protect the anonymity and confidentiality of individual informants. They should provide maximum notice, minimize demands on time, and respect people's right not to engage. Evaluators must respect people's right to provide information in confidence, and must ensure that sensitive information cannot be traced to its source. Evaluators are not expected to evaluate individuals, and must balance an evaluation of management functions with this general principle.
- 4. Sometimes uncover evidence of wrongdoing while conducting evaluations. Such cases must be reported discreetly to the appropriate investigative body. Evaluators should consult with other relevant oversight entities when there is any doubt about if and how issues should be reported.
- 5. Should be sensitive to beliefs, manners and customs and act with integrity and honesty in their relations with all stakeholders. In line with the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights, evaluators must be sensitive to and address issues of discrimination and gender equality. They should avoid offending the dignity and self-respect of those persons with whom they come in contact in the course of the evaluation. Knowing that evaluation might negatively affect the interests of some stakeholders, evaluators should conduct the evaluation and communicate its purpose and results in a way that clearly respects the stakeholders' dignity and self-worth.
- 6. Are responsible for their performance and their product(s). They are responsible for the clear, accurate and fair written and/or oral presentation of study imitations, findings and recommendations.
- 7. Should reflect sound accounting procedures and be prudent in using the resources of the evaluation.
- 8. Must ensure that independence of judgement is maintained, and that evaluation findings and recommendations are independently presented.
- 9. Must confirm that they have not been involved in designing, executing or advising on the project being evaluated and did not carry out the project's Mid-Term Review.

Evaluation Consultant Agreement Form

Agreement to abide by the Code of Conduct for Evaluation in the UN System:		
Name of Evaluator:		
Name of Consultancy Organization (where relevant):		
I confirm that I have received and understood and will abide by the United Nations Code of Conduct for Evaluation.		
Signed at (Place) on (Date)		
Signature:		

ToR Annex F: TE Rating Scales

Ratings for Outcomes, Effectiveness, Efficiency,	Sustainability ratings:
M&E, Implementation/Oversight, Execution,	
Relevance	

- 6 = Highly Satisfactory (HS): exceeds expectations and/or no shortcomings
- 5 = Satisfactory (S): meets expectations and/or no or minor shortcomings
- 4 = Moderately Satisfactory (MS): more or less meets expectations and/or some shortcomings
- 3 = Moderately Unsatisfactory (MU): somewhat below expectations and/or significant shortcomings
- 2 = Unsatisfactory (U): substantially below expectations and/or major shortcomings
- 1 = Highly Unsatisfactory (HU): severe shortcomings

Unable to Assess (U/A): available information does not allow an assessment

- 4 = Likely (L): negligible risks to sustainability
- 3 = Moderately Likely (ML): moderate risks to sustainability
- 2 = Moderately Unlikely (MU): significant risks to sustainability
- 1 = Unlikely (U): severe risks to sustainability Unable to Assess (U/A): Unable to assess the expected incidence and magnitude of risks to sustainability

ToR Annex G: TE Report Clearance Form

Terminal Evaluation Report for (Project Title & UNDP PIMS ID) Reviewed and Cleared By:					
Commissioning Unit (M&E Focal Point)					
Name:	_				
Signature:	Date:				
Regional Technical Advisor (Nature, Climate and Energy)					
Name:	_				
Signature:	Date:				

ToR Annex H: TE Audit Trail

The following is a template for the TE Team to show how the received comments on the draft TE report have (or have not) been incorporated into the final TE report. This Audit Trail should be listed as an annex in the final TE report but not attached to the report file.

To the comments received on *(date)* **from the Terminal Evaluation of** *(project name) (UNDP Project PIMS #)*

The following comments were provided to the draft TE report; they are referenced by institution/organization (do not include the commentator's name) and track change comment number ("#" column):

Institution/ Organization	#	Para No./ comment location	Comment/Feedback on the draft TE report	TE team response and actions taken